INTRODUCTION

High-throughput protein screening of cell lines is a standard method of selecting compounds to be developed into therapeutic agents. Technologies available for high-throughput studies of protein expression include enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs), and protein microarrays. Although powerful, these tools are costly and technically challenging and thus have limited accessibility for many research groups. We propose a modification of traditional dot blotting that increases throughput of this approach and provides a simple and cost-effective technique for profiling multiple samples. In contrast to traditional blotting that uses a single membrane, we introduce blotting onto a stack of novel, thin, sieve-like membranes. These membranes have a high affinity for binding proteins, but have a lower capacity of protein binding compared to traditional (nitrocellulose) membranes. We compare the linear binding capacity and variability of these novel membranes with nitrocellulose membranes. Also, we describe the use of these membranes in a multilayer dot blot format for profiling mitogen-mediated signal transduction pathways in T cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Extraction of Proteins

Jurkat cell line was cultured in RPMI media with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) at 37°C, lysed with Sample Lysis Buffer (20/20 GeneSystems, Rockville, MD USA), and centrifuged at 16,000× g. The supernatant was then collected and stored at -20°C.
Transfer of Proteins by MLDot

Lysates containing 15 μg of total protein (100 μL volume) were loaded (or lysed directly) in each well of a 96-well plate and transferred onto a five-membrane stack of P-FILM membranes (20/20 GeneSystems) by vacuum transfer through the Bio-Dot® Microfiltration Apparatus (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). After transfer, the membranes were rinsed in deionized water, separated, and air-dried.

Detection of Total Protein

Biotinylation was performed by incubating membranes in 0.001% EZ-Link™ Sulfo-NHS-Biotin (Pierce Chemical, Rockford, IL, USA) solution in 1× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 10 min, followed by three washes (3 min each) in TBST buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.05% Tween®20), air-drying, and incubation with a streptavidin-Cy™5 conjugate.

Detection of Specific Protein

Membranes were incubated overnight at 4°C in TBST plus 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) solution of antibodies against the following proteins: phospho-tyrosine (1:1000; Cell Signaling, Beverly, MA, USA), c-Myc, (1:500; Neo-Markers, Fremont, CA, USA), c-Raf -1 (1:500; BD Transduction Laboratories/BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA, USA). After three washes (3 min each) in TBST buffer, membranes were incubated for 1 h at room temperature in fluorescein goat-anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) (1:1000; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR USA), washed three times (3 min each) in TBST buffer, and air-dried.

Fluorescent Detection of Protein Signal

Membranes were scanned on the Typhoon® scanner (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ, USA). Signal intensity was determined by ImageQuant® software (Amersham Biosciences), and data were graphed and analyzed by Microsoft® Excel® and JMP (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA).

Quantitation and Normalization of Protein Signal

For total protein on each membrane, the signal intensity of the uppermost left sample was arbitrarily set at 1.0. The signal intensity of each of the other 95 samples was then normalized to this reference sample. The intensity of each specific protein signal was normalized to its corresponding total protein signal.
Table 1. Variability in Signal Intensity for Proteins Transferred to P-FILM or Nitrocellulose Membranes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Protein</th>
<th>Specific Proteins</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intramembrane</td>
<td>Intermembrane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variability (%)</td>
<td>Variability (%)</td>
<td>Variability (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-FILM</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Intermembrane variability (through the stack) and intramembrane variability (across the membrane) in signal intensity of total and specific proteins were calculated following transfer of 15 μg of protein to P-FILM membranes by MLDot or to nitrocellulose (NC) membranes by dot blot. Intermembrane variability is the maximum through-the-stack variability as a fold difference of intensity compared to membrane 1, and intramembrane variability designates average across-the-membrane variability (the standard deviation as a percentage of mean intensity). P-FILM, protein function and identification layered membranes; MLDot, multilayer multowell plate dot blotting system.

Isolation and Mitogen/Drug Treatment of Lymphocytes

Primary blood lymphocytes were obtained as previously described (8) and treated with the indicated mitogen/drug combinations at the following concentrations: 50 ng/mL phorbol myristate acid (PMA; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), 720 ng/mL ionomycin (Calbiochem, San Diego, CA, USA), 1.5 μg/mL anti-CD28 (Research Diagnostics, Flanders, NJ, USA), 1:1000 dilution anti-CD3 ascites fluid (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA) and 40 ng/mL insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) (Research Diagnostics). After a 5-h incubation at 37°C, cells were harvested, washed twice with PBS, and lysed in a modified radioimmunoprecipitation (RIPA) buffer.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Visualization of Total Protein on P-FILM Membranes

Our first goal was to find a simple and reliable method for quantitation of total protein on P-FILM membranes in order to normalize for differences in protein amounts between samples and thereby eliminate the need for initial assaying of protein concentrations. We developed a novel approach of total protein visualization based on attaching biotin residues to protein by using N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS) reactive ester chemistry and visualizing these biotin tags with streptavidin-Cy5 conjugates (9,10). After transfer, proteins were first biotinylated directly on the membrane. Membranes were then incubated in primary antibody. Following a washing step, membranes were simultaneously incubated with a streptavidin-Cy5 conjugate and secondary antibody. We find biotinylation to be advantageous over ubiquitous protein staining because of better antibody binding to the epitopes on biotinylated protein than to the epitopes on stained proteins. Also, biotinylation decreases membrane processing time by allowing concurrent detection of total and specific protein by two-channel scanning on a fluorescent scanner.

Variability Within and Between P-FILM Membranes

We next measured intramembrane variability (the distribution of signal intensities between samples on a single membrane) and intermembrane variability (the average difference of signal intensity between membranes in the stack as compared to the first one). Five, ten, and twenty micrograms of Jurkat lysates were transferred one time onto a five-membrane P-FILM stack by MLDot or five times to five nitrocellulose membranes by traditional dot blot. The levels of c-Myc and c-Raf were measured by incubating the individual membranes with corresponding antibodies and visualizing complexes with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-conjugated secondary antibody. The amount of total protein was measured by biotinylation and visualization with a streptavidin-Cy5 conjugate. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Intramembrane variability was expressed as standard deviation of signal intensities (as a percentage of mean intensity). Variability of signal intensities of total protein was 23% across a P-FILM stack as compared to an average of 19.8% for specific proteins. For traditional dot blot, intramembrane variability was 17% for total protein and 19% for the specific proteins. Intermembrane variability of signal intensity through the five-membrane P-FILM stack generated in one transfer was 1.01-fold for total protein and an average of 1.87-fold for specific proteins. Variability of signal intensity between five nitrocellulose membranes generated by five different transfers was 0.64-fold for total protein and an average of 1.58-fold for the specific proteins. Due to the higher throughput of the assay and increased savings of time and sample, we find MLDot to be a viable alternative to traditional blotting (despite slightly higher variability of P-FILM when compared to nitrocellulose membrane).

Influence of Protein Concentration on MLDot Analysis

We next determined the optimal linear range of protein binding by the membranes to allow quantitative comparison of the samples. Since higher amounts of protein should result in lower intermembrane variability but may saturate the membranes, it is crucial to balance intermembrane variability with the linear range of protein binding. We performed a titration experiment of MLDot with different amounts of Jurkat protein ranging from 0.5 μg/well to 80 μg/well in quadruplicate through a five-membrane P-FILM stack and visualized either total protein (Figure 1A) or the specific proteins c-Myc and c-Raf (Figure 1, B and C) on all of the membranes.

The dependence of signal intensity on the amount of input protein is shown on the graphs in Figure 1, right panels. A predicted curve was plotted based on an arbitrary reference point (the intensity of the upper left dot) and the assumption that there is a proportional dependence of signal intensity on the amount of input protein. We determined 2.5–20 μg of input protein as the loading interval in which the slopes of the curves generated from experimental data
points were equivalent to the slope of the predicted curve for detection of total proteins (Figure 1A), c-Myc (Figure 1B), and c-Raf (Figure 1C). Thus, to compare expression levels of selected proteins between samples by MLDot, an initial concentration of 2.5–20 μg of input protein is optimal. However, if less then 5 μg of protein is loaded, then fewer membranes can be generated. In contrast, higher amounts of input sample (40–80 μg) may be used to generate a greater number of replicate blots that have lower intermembrane variability but yield more qualitative data. Therefore, 15–20 μg is the recommended amount of input protein to provide the optimal balance between maintaining the ability to detect concentration changes in a linear fashion and achieving reasonably low intermembrane variability.

The recommended size of proteins to be tested by MLDot is 10–200 kDa. We have used MLDot to successfully detect over 45 nuclear, cytoplasmic, and membrane proteins within this size range (data not shown). Furthermore, the P-FILM membranes have been used to successfully detect over 40 proteins (ranging from 30–140 kDa) in a gel-based application (http://www.2020gene.com). Overall, the P-FILM membranes show optimal performance for detecting proteins between 30 and 120 kDa.

To compare MLDot with traditional dot blot, we repeated the titration experiment but transferred proteins to five nitrocellulose membranes by performing five traditional dot blot transfers. Signal intensities on nitrocellulose membranes show greater deviation from the standard curve as well as greater variability between membranes, especially for total protein and c-Raf (Figure 2, A and C). As with MLDot, signal

Figure 1. Influence of protein concentration on protein binding to P-FILM membranes. (A) Detection of total protein after biotinylation/streptavidin-Cy5 detection. The graph represents a correlation between signal intensity and amount of protein loaded. (B and C) Detection of c-Myc protein (B) and c-Raf protein (C) on five membranes by using anti-c-Myc antibody or anti-c-Raf antibody and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) detection. P-FILM, protein function and identification layered membranes; RFU, relative fluorescence unit.
intensities of c-Myc followed the predicted curve between 2.5 and 20 μg of input protein (Figure 2B). However, with input protein of 20 μg/sample, five membranes can be generated by MLDot in one experiment in contrast to a single membrane generated by dot blot. Therefore, MLDot saves time and sample as compared to traditional dot blot. Furthermore, P-FILM membranes show a more reproducible linear binding range than nitrocellulose membranes.

**Profiling Proteome Changes in Activated Human Peripheral Blood Lymphocytes by Using MLDot**

To assess the applicability of MLDot to a biological system, we profiled proteome changes in activated human peripheral blood lymphocytes in response to multiple combinations of T-cell mitogens. MLDot was performed, and the multivariate data from the immunoblot intensities were analyzed by principal component analysis (PCA). PCA profiles trends in complex data sets that examine changes of many variables under multiple conditions. The PCA output is a 3-D plot that clusters the proteomic changes in 3-D space as determined by the average summation of how the different mitogen combinations influenced the signal intensity. The mitogenic response for each proteomic change is clearly distinguished and phospho-tyrosine signals (purple) cluster much higher in the vertical dimension (principal component 2 or PC2) (Figure 3A). Moreover, the results (performed in triplicate with three independent experiments represented by each data point) are highly reproducible. A plot of the eigenvector contribution to the vertical dimension (PC2) (Figure 3B) reveals two expected results: (i) the mitogen stimulus most responsible for the phospho-tyrosine proteomic

![Figure 2. Influence of protein concentration on protein binding to nitrocellulose membranes.](image)

(A) Detection of total protein after biotinylation/streptavidin-Cy5 detection. The graph represents a correlation between signal intensity and amount of protein loaded. (B and C) Detection of c-Myc protein (B) and c-Raf protein (C) on five membranes by using anti-c-Myc antibody or anti-c-Raf antibody and fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) detection. RFU, relative fluorescence unit.
shift is the addition of the cross-linking antibodies for CD3 and CD28 (these receptors initiate tyrosine phosphorylation cascades in activated T cells) and (ii) a much lower contribution to the phospho-tyrosine proteomic shift by mitogen combinations containing phorbol myristate acid/ionomycin (P/I; P/I bypasses the tyrosine phosphorylation cascade in T cells). Therefore, these data provide proof of principle that MLDot can detect the predicted proteomic changes and show the high reproducibility of MLDot. Interestingly, these data also suggest new information that IGF-1-mediated negative feedback signals may down-regulate tyrosine phosphorylation cascades in T cells.

In summary, MLDot is a technically simple and cost-effective method that increases the throughput of traditional dot blot. In contrast to technologies that can profile one sample for multiple proteins or multiple samples for one protein, MLDot provides the ability to easily quantitate the amounts of multiple proteins in multiple samples simultaneously. Thus, MLDot provides an excellent approach for quantitative proteomic analyses for smaller laboratories.
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