Immunodetection of 5′-methylcytosine on Giemsa-stained chromosomes
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In higher vertebrates, the major, if not the only, covalent modification of DNA is a methyl-group present at the C5 position of cytosine yielding 5′-methylcytosine (5′-MC). This modification occurs only in the context of CpG dinucleotides, short sequences that are significantly underrepresented in the genome but are found frequently concentrated within so called “CpG island” regions as well as in repetitive sequences (1). Several reasons might account for the statistical underrepresentation of CpG dinucleotides in the mammalian genome, one being that 5′-MC was shown to be a hotspot for mutation through deamination (2). Another aspect that has recently emerged addresses the issue of immunostimulation by unmethylated CpG sequences present in bacterial DNA, allowing the immune system to discriminate between sterile tissue damage and infectious danger (3). Previous studies on DNA methylation have already revealed a heterogeneous distribution of hypo- and hypermethylated areas on human metaphase chromosomes (4,5). CpG islands are predominantly found at the 5′ ends of genes as well as in intronic regions, serving two major functions: (i) regulating the transcription levels of genes and (ii) protecting the host organisms against expression of undesired sequences (e.g., noncoding, repetitive, or parasitic ones) (6). Expressed genes are generally unmethylated, and aberrant methylation has been shown to be associated with many serious pathological consequences (7). For example, hypermethylation of tumor suppressor gene promoters is often associated with transcriptional down-regulation by interfering with the binding of, for example, transcription factors (8,9). Several methods for obtaining methylation-patterns on a genomic scale are currently established, yet most of them are restricted to the analysis of purified, complex DNA preparations (6). On the other hand, immunodetection offers the possibility to obtain spatially resolved information on the distribution of 5′-MC on metaphase chromosomes (10,11). We describe now an advanced experimental technique that renders the immunodetection of 5′-MC on human metaphase chromosome spreads previously stained by the Giemsa method possible. Due to the harsh conditions generally used during karyotype analysis, several modifications had to be introduced to maintain the chromosomal morphology during Giemsa staining, the destaining and DNA-denaturation procedures, and to facilitate the subsequent immunodetection of 5′-MC as outlined below.

Informed consent was obtained from a healthy volunteer, and venous blood was collected (Vacuette® system; Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Kremsmünster, Austria). Lymphocytes were purified by density gradient centrifugation (Ficoll-Paque®; Amersham Biosciences Europe GmbH, Vienna, Austria), and cells were cultured in PB-MAX™ karyotyping medium (Invitrogen GmbH, Lofer, Austria) for 72 h at 37°C. KaryoMAX® Colcemid® solution (final concentration 0.2 μg/mL; Invitrogen GmbH) was added, and the cultures were incubated for an additional 1 h. Cells were collected by centrifugation (350× g for 8 min) and resuspended in 0.1 mL culture media. For hypotonic treatment, 5 mL 0.047 M KCl were added dropwise, and the cell suspension was incubated for exactly 20 min at room temperature. After collecting the cells by centrifugation, the supernatant was discarded, and the cells were resuspended in 0.1 mL hypotonic solution. Five milliliters freshly prepared fixative (ice-cold methanol/acetic acid 3:1) were added dropwise, and the cell suspension was incubated for 5 min at room temperature. Cells were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in fresh fixative (repeated twice). The fixed cells can be stored at -20°C for several months.

Slides were cleaned with 70% ethanol for 1 min, rinsed in distilled water, and stored at 4°C until use. Cells were dropped on a cleaned slide, dried at 37°C on a moist filter paper, and incubated at 90°C for 30 min. Subsequently, samples were treated for approximately 10 s with a 0.8% trypsin solution (BD Diagnostic Systems, Schwechat, Austria) at 37°C. Giemsa staining was performed according to standard procedures (12). Samples were stained for 5 min, rinsed in water, and dried at 37°C for 15 min. Images of metaphase chromosome spreads were acquired with an Axioplan 2 Imaging Microscope (Zeiss GmbH, Vienna, Austria) and analyzed with the Power Gene MacKtype 5.7/CytoVision 3.1 software (Applied Imaging International, Newcastle Upon Tyne, UK). Coordinates of selected chromosome spreads were recorded for subsequent interpretation of methylation data.

In order to ensure optimal preservation of the 5′-MC epitope for the following immunodetection procedure, destaining proved to be a very critical step and required several adaptations. After washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 5 min, the slides were dried for 30 min. Postfixation with methanol/acetic acid (3:1) and additional destaining with methanol was performed for 5 min each, followed by air-drying for 60 min. To produce accessible epitopes, DNA had to be denatured prior to the antibody incubation step. Samples were incubated in 2 M HCl for 30 min followed by neutralization with 0.1 M NaBO₃ (pH 8.5) for 5 min and air-drying for 60 min. After the destaining procedure, slides were washed with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) for 5 min, blocked with TBS/1% casein overnight at 4°C and incubated with a monoclonal anti-5′-MC antibody (1:100 dilution; Serotec GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany) at 37°C for 60 min. Following a 5-min wash with TBS, samples were incubated with a
Cy™3-labeled secondary antibody (1:1000 dilution; Amersham Biosciences Europe GmbH) at room temperature for 30 min. After a brief wash with TBS, the slides were embedded with Universal Mount (Invitrogen GmbH), and images were acquired using the CytoScout® fluorescence microscope (Upper Austrian Research GmbH, Linz, Austria). Figure 1A shows a typical analysis of metaphase chromosomes stained by the Giemsa procedure. A fluorescence image of the same metaphase chromosomes after destaining and immunolabeling for the presence of 5′-MC according to our procedure is presented in Figure 1B. The potential of characterizing chromosomal changes in a lymphoma cell line is shown in Figure 2. Additional chromosomal material on chromosome 1 generated by a translocation t(1;14)(q42;q32) could be identified by immunodetection of 5′-MC.

In conclusion, the procedure presented here is a simple yet efficient method for the immunodetection of 5′-MC on metaphase chromosomes. It can be used to obtain a fast and global overview of changes in genomic methylation patterns during the development of various types of cancer. Furthermore, the immunodetection of 5′-MC during the characterization of translocations might hold some promises for future applications, since local changes in DNA methylation have been already correlated with genome instability (13–15). The possibility to easily combine immunological fluorescence detection of hyper- and hypomethylated areas on metaphase chromosomes with standard Giemsa-staining procedures...
will greatly facilitate the characterization of possible disease-related methylation patterns on the genomic scale to eventually be used for diagnostic applications.
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Recent lentiviral vector types have shown highly efficient stable transgene expression in terminally differentiated cells (1,2). Despite major advantages of those vectors, lentiviral vector production and gene transfer remains labor-intensive and time-consuming and therefore not appropriate as the standard gene transfer approach for many laboratories.

Large vector size, resulting in low cloning efficiencies, and the absence of convenient multicloning sites leads to complex cloning strategies. In addition, during the cloning of full-length viral plasmid clones, homologous recombination between long terminal repeat (LTR) regions is frequently observed (3,4) even in recA, recB, recJ, and sbcC mutant bacterial strains and often results in the loss of the entire viral sequence except a single copy of an LTR (4).

Here we describe a novel lentiviral cloning system, LentiShuttle, which allows for fast and convenient generation of lentiviral vectors with different expression cassettes. The whole expression cassette is constructed within a high-copy plasmid with convenient multicloning sites. Finally, the cassette is transferred into the lentiviral vector via a simple restriction digest and standard sticky end ligation reaction. All cloning steps prior to the final transfer into pLentiShuttle, including those in pBShuttle, can be carried out in standard Escherichia coli strains such as TOP10 (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany), which can easily be prepared in the laboratory. Only for the very last cloning step, the insertion of complete expression cassettes between the viral LTRs of pLentiShuttle, is it recommended to use special (usually expensive)