Use of Enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein to Optimize and Quantitate Infection of Target Cells with Recombinant Retroviruses
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INTRODUCTION

Retroviral vectors facilitate gene transfer in both clinical and research environments (3,7-9,13,15,16,19,21,22). Exogenous coding regions can be inserted into the viral RNA in place of viral genes, which are then supplied in trans by the packaging cell line to produce replication-deficient viruses capable of infecting and integrating into the genomes of target cells (2,7-9,14,16-18,21,22). Transient viral production systems utilize multicopy plasmids for expression of viral RNA and proteins, and high titer virus can be isolated 48 h after transfection of the packaging cell line (1,8,9,12,20). Enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) has been incorporated into retroviral vectors to follow transfection of the packaging cell line, measure viral titer and rapidly isolate infected cells (1,3,4,6,9,13,14,16,18,19,21).

Wild-type green fluorescent protein from Aequorea victoria has been modified in the chromophore region to enhance the intrinsic fluorescence (1,3,6,11,22-24) and in the coding region using silent mutations to optimize expression in human cells (19,23,24). Previous studies have demonstrated the utility of EGFP as a marker for gene transfer with recombinant retroviral vectors and the use of flow cytometry to identify and quantify infected cells (1,3,4,9,13,15,16,18,19,21,22). In this report, we demonstrate that the fluorescent signal of EGFP is strong enough to be detected in living cells by more direct methods, including fluorescent microscopy (9,14,16,19,21,22) and fluorescent microplate readers (22). These tools enable the researcher to actively monitor viral titer and infection efficiency during the course of an experiment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines and Culture Conditions

The NIH-3T3 and PC-3 cells (ATCC, Rockville, MD, USA) were propagated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) (Life Technologies, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) supplemented with 10% calf serum (Hyclone Laboratories, Logan, UT, USA) and in Kaighn’s F-12 medium (Irvine Scientific, Irvine, CA, USA) supplemented with 7% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Hyclone Laboratories), respectively. The Phoenix cell line (provided by Gary Nolan, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA) was propagated in DMEM plus 10% FCS (1,12).

Construction of the Retroviral Plasmid for Expression of EGFP

The EGFP expression plasmid used in these studies, pNOLein, is shown in Figure 1. The plasmid was constructed by ligating the 3.7-kb Nhel fragment from pLein (CLONTECH Laboratories, Palo Alto, CA, USA) containing the coding region for EGFP and neo to the large Nhel fragment of pLZRS-LacZ(A) (Reference 12; provided by Gary Nolan) that contained the Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) sequences needed for extra-chromosomal replication.
Fluorescence Detection of Infected Cells

A Labophot-2™ Inverted Fluorescent Microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY, USA), equipped with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) dichroic filter set (excitation at 450–490 nm and emission at 521 nm) was used to visualize living cells. The reticule inside the eyepiece of the microscope was calibrated using a micrometer.

Infection and Production of Recombinant Retroviruses

For generation of recombinant retroviral stocks, Phoenix packaging cells were plated into 6-well plates (Corning Costar, Cambridge, MA, USA) at 2 × 10⁶ cells per well. The next day, the cells were transfected with 2 µg of the retroviral expression vector using calcium phosphate (9,20). Forty-eight hours later, fluorescent microscopy revealed that a majority of the transfected Phoenix cells expressed EGFP. The transfection frequency using this method varied from 50%–80% as determined by flow cytometry (data not shown). For production of recombinant retrovirus, the cells were fed with fresh growth medium and placed at 32°C for 24 h. The supernatants containing packaged recombinant viral RNA were collected, filtered and stored at -80°C.

Titration of Recombinant Retrovirus

The protocol of Miller et al. (17) was used to titer recombinant retroviruses. NIH-3T3 cells were plated at a concentration of 2 × 10⁵ cells per well into 6-well microplates 24 h before infection. PC-3 cells were plated at 8 × 10⁴ cells per well into 24-well microplates (Corning Costar). Viral dilutions were made from the supernatant of Phoenix cells producing recombinant retroviruses. Polybrene (hexadimethrine bromide; Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) was added (final concentration, 8 µg/mL) to facilitate infection (8,17). Cells and virus were incubated at 32°C for 24 h. After 48 h, EGFP-expressing cells were counted using the calibrated reticule in the eyepiece of the microscope. The total number of positive cells per well was estimated from counting green cells in ten distinct regions of the well. The viral titer was determined by multiplying the number of positive cells per well by the viral dilution. Since some cell division might have taken place between infection and counting, obvious doublets were counted as one infection event. Cells expressing β-galactosidase (β-gal) were fixed, stained and counted 48 h after infection using the β-Gal Staining Kit (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA, USA). In the experiments described below, the multiplicity of infection (MOI) was determined by infection of NIH-3T3 cells.

Analysis of EGFP Expression Using Flow Cytometry

Flow cytometric analysis was carried out on a FACScan™ Flow Cytometer (Becton Dickinson Immunocytometry Systems, San Jose, CA, USA) using a 488-nm excitation source and a 530/30-nm band-pass filter set. Six days after infection, the cells were trypsinized and resuspended at a concentration of 2–5 × 10⁵ cells/mL. The fluorescence distribution was analyzed using Lysis II software (Becton Dickinson).

Analysis of EGFP Expression Using Intracellular Fluorescence

The intracellular expression of EGFP can be detected using a PerSeptive Biosystems’ Cytofluor® 2350 Fluorescence Plate Reader (PE Biosystems, Framingham, MA, USA). This instrument is equipped with 485/20-nm excitation and 530/25-nm emission filters for detection of EGFP. Mock-infected cultures were used to determine the background fluorescence due to medium, cells and plastic. The background was also determined by reading the plates three days after infection when very low levels of EGFP are expressed. The maximum fluorescence is seen at six days post-infection. This signal is due primarily to EGFP expression, since the intrinsic fluorescence coming from the cells represents less than 10% of the total signal (data not shown).

RESULTS

Titer of Recombinant Retroviruses

In the EGFP expression plasmid, pNOLein, expression of the EGFP...
gene is driven by the 5′ long terminal repeat (LTR) promoter (Figure 1). The plasmid backbone from pLZRS-LacZ(A) contains the EBV origin of replication (OriP) and the gene encoding EBNA1, both of which are required to maintain the plasmid episomally in the nucleus (12,20). Multiple plasmid copies generate high levels of genomic viral RNA for packaging (8,12). Recombinant retrovirus was produced in Phoenix cells (1,9,12,20).

The titers of pNOLein and pLZRS-LacZ(A) were determined by infection of NIH-3T3 cells followed by direct-counting positive cells using fluorescent or bright-field microscopy (9,14,16). Table 1 gives the titer of the viral preparations, the method of analysis and the cell lines. The titer of the packaged pNOLein was similar to the titer of the packaged pLZRS-LacZ(A). Thus, the expression of EGFP following infection with the recombinant retrovirus was bright enough to allow quantitation by direct counting of infected cells. *LacZ* is often used as a marker for viral infection, but due to its large size, no other genes can be inserted into the viral genome (12). Since the EGFP protein comprises only 238 amino acid residues, there is ample space remaining in the recombinant viral genome to insert additional sequences (2,9,21).

The titer of pNOLein was also determined directly in PC-3 cells using the fluorescent microscope. The fluorescent intensity of EGFP, by visual observation and quantitative flow cytometry (data not shown), was actually brighter in PC-3 cells than in NIH-3T3 cells. The titer of pNOLein on PC-3 cells (7 × 10^5 i.p./mL) was very similar to the titer obtained on NIH-3T3 cells (1.2 × 10^6 i.p./mL).

### Determination of Infection Frequencies in Target Cells

Infection efficiencies of various target cell lines can be rapidly determined in a noninvasive manner by monitoring EGFP expression (1–3,5,6,13,16,19). We assessed the infection frequency of pNOLein in PC-3 cells using fluorescent microscopy, analysis by a fluorescent microplate reader and flow cytometry. The inset of Figure 2 shows the distribution of fluorescent cells determined by flow cytometry. The mean fluorescence of the EGFP-expressing cells was increased more than 100-fold compared to the uninfected PC-3 cells. The infection efficiency was calculated from the number of cells that shift to the region of positive fluorescence. Figure 2 (right axis) shows the infection rates determined by flow cytometry. An increase in the quantity of virus used for infection (MOI) led to an increase in infection efficiency. Since the EGFP signal in PC-3 cells was very strong, we also analyzed the PC-3-infected cells using a Cytofluor 2350 Fluorescent Plate Reader. The fluorescent units obtained from the microplate reader increased with increasing amounts of virus (Figure 2, left axis).

Both the flow cytometric analysis and the relative fluorescent units from the fluorescent plate reader show an increase in EGFP-expressing cells with increasing MOI. With flow cytometry, the infection efficiency increases up to an MOI of 2.5 when more than 26% of the PC-3 cells expressed EGFP. The data from the fluorescent plate reader show a similar increase in EGFP ex-

![Figure 2. EGFP expression in infected cells.](image-url)
pression that plateaus at an MOI of 1.26. Thus, the increase in infection frequency determined by flow cytometry correlates well with an increase in EGFP expression measured by the fluorescent plate reader.

**Optimization of Infection Parameters**

In the experiment shown in Figure 2, the infection parameters for PC-3 cells were not optimized. Since the flow cytometric analysis and the data from the fluorescent plate reader showed good correlation, we used this later analytical technique to optimize conditions for viral infection. A matrix experiment was set up in 96-well plates in which the variables were cell density and MOI. PC-3 cells were plated at densities ranging from 1900–13800 cells per well and infected with increasing amounts of pNOLein virus up to an MOI of 7. The infected cultures were analyzed with the fluorescent plate reader on days 3, 6 and 8. Maximal expression of EGFP was seen on day 6. Since cells were plated at multiple densities and received different virus treatments, the fluorescent units on day 3 were used as background values to subtract the fluorescence due to cells, medium and plastic. Figure 3 shows the increase in fluorescent units due to EGFP expression between days 3 and 6 following infection. The maximal level of EGFP expression was seen at the initial plating density of 6000 cells per well. The level of EGFP expression increased with increasing amounts of virus up to an MOI of 3. Using the optimized cell density and MOI, we were able to increase the infection frequency in PC-3 cells up to 70% (analysis by flow cytometry, data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Our results demonstrate the utility of using EGFP as a noninvasive marker to follow gene transfer after transfection and viral infection. EGFP-positive cells can be counted directly with a fluorescent microscope. Transfection efficiencies and viral titers can be obtained quickly, and cultures are not subjected to toxic agents. In the case of the pNOLein virus used in this study, there was very little difference in viral titer when measured on NIH-3T3 or PC-3 cells. Thus, viral infection can be evaluated directly in the target cell line.
EGFP expression in infected cells can also be monitored in microplates with a fluorescent plate reader. The infection frequency determined by flow cytometry analysis correlated with EGFP expression quantified by the fluorescent plate reader. In contrast to flow cytometry, multiple determinations of EGFP expression can be made on different days in the same plate. Thus, the data from the plate reader can generate real-time data for determining growth parameters of infected or transfected cells. The strong fluorescent signal makes EGFP a useful marker for cell proliferation, allowing the growth of transfected and infected cells expressing EGFP to be monitored in a background of noninfected cells.

The ability to quantify infected cells is useful in heterologous cells infected with recombinant retroviruses since infection efficiency can be less than 100% (13). However, in some cell lines, the signal from our retroviral vector was not strong enough to be detected over the intrinsic cell fluorescence. In those cell lines, we found that EGFP expression could be monitored following transfection with a multicopy EGFP expression plasmid, such as the ones used to produce viral RNA in the packaging cell line. In some cell lines, the over-expression of EGFP can be toxic (10). EGFP expression from retroviral vectors has not affected the cell viability or growth rates in any of the cell lines we have analyzed (data not shown). However, over-expression of EGFP from a multicopy plasmid was seen to be toxic in several cell lines (data not shown). The results presented here suggest that EGFP will be a very useful marker to characterize and specifically follow cells that have been altered by co-expression of an exogenous gene or target sequence.
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