ABSTRACT

The application of microarray analysis to gene expression from limited tissue samples has not been very successful because of the poor signal quality from the genes expressed at low levels. Here we discussed the use of catalyzed reporter deposition (CARD) technology to amplify signals from limited RNA samples on nylon membrane cDNA microarray. When the input RNA level was greater than 10 µg, the genes expressed at high levels did not amplify in proportion to those expressed at low levels. Compared to conventional colorimetric detection, the CARD method requires less than 10% of the total RNA used for amplification of signal displayed onto a nylon membrane cDNA microarray. Total RNA (5–10 µg), as one can extract from a limited amount of specimen, was determined to produce a linear correlation between the colorimetric detection and CARD methods. Beyond this range, it can cause a nonlinear amplification of highly expressed and low-abundance genes. These results suggest that when amplification is needed for any applications using the CARD method, including DNA microarray experiments, precaution has to be taken in the amount of RNA used to avoid skew amplification and thus misleading conclusions.

INTRODUCTION

The microarray biochip enables life scientists to understand the mechanisms of diseases, develop new drugs and diagnostics, and widen the scope of basic research (16). The sensitive detection of low-abundance mRNA or limited tissue samples is almost impossible using direct labeling strategies. To improve signal detection in scarce biomedical specimens, we applied the catalyzed reporter deposition (CARD) technology (11), a signal amplification technique, to nylon microarrays. The signal output derived from the sequential tyramide amplification should reflect the transcriptional levels of all the genes in a linear fashion and avoid over- and underestimation of the original quantity.

RNA, especially mRNA, is the standard source for performing microarray experiments. However, this biological material is very limited in a number of cases (e.g., cancer samples and low-abundance transcripts from various tissue-specific genes). Thus, signal amplification methods become essential to generate meaningful and reliable data. Recently, a patented technology, Tyramide Signal Amplification™ (NEN® Life Science Products, Boston, MA, USA) (7–9), also known as the CARD method, has been developed. It amplifies both chromogenic and fluorescent signals in standard immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization protocols, resulting in a significant increase in sensitivity, with no loss of resolution or an increase in background. This tyramide-based technique allows amplifi-
cation of fluorescent signals up to 1000-fold (1,4,15,17,20–22). The CARD method was also adopted to explore the gene expression of Helicobacter pylori on membrane microarrays (3). The CARD technique has also been widely used to amplify fluorescent signal for glass cDNA microarrays (2,13,14,18). Only 1 µg total RNA is required to conduct an analysis using the CARD technique, and this significantly reduces the starting material by up to 50-fold.

The CARD method is easily integrated into any protocol (Figure 1) after an initial addition of HRP. HRP catalyzes the rapid deposition and binding of a labeled (e.g., biotin or other labeling moieties) tyramide onto tissue sections or cell preparations previously blocked with proteins. The free radical intermediate reacts with the tyrosine of the proteins on the section surface. These labels can then be detected by standard chromogenic or fluorescent techniques. Since the added labels are only deposited close to the enzyme site, there is a minimal loss of resolution.

Colorimetric detection has been adopted for use in nylon membrane microarray experiments (5,12). The amount of RNA needed (50–100 µg total RNA) for using colorimetric detection method is very similar to that of the glass/fluorescence microarray system.

Here we utilized nylon cDNA microarrays to examine the gene expression patterns of colorimetric detection and CARD methods under conditions where RNA is limited, as seen in small samples such as medical biopsies. Dilution experiments suggested that amplification of as little as 5 µg total RNA can be detected using this approach. Misinterpretation of the results occurred when the starting amounts of RNA fell beyond the log phase of CARD amplification. The amount of RNA used should be carefully determined in the CARD method not to exceed the upper saturation limit.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell Culture**

A human embryonic kidney (HEK) cell line was obtained from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) and maintained in RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA).

**RNA Isolation and cDNA Probe Labeling**

Total RNA was isolated using TRIzol® reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and further purified using the RNeasy® kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Total RNA was reverse-transcribed into cDNA using 6 µM oligo(dT) primer with 1× first-strand buffer, 10 mM DTT, 500 µM dNTP (dATP, dCTP, and dGTP), 40 µM dTTP, 0.125 U RNAseIn® (Invitrogen), and 300 U SUPERSCRIPT II™ (Invitrogen). Biotin-16-dUTP (40 µM; Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) was also incorporated into cDNA synthesis during reverse transcription. The reaction mixture was heated to 70°C for 10 min before the Biotin-16-dUTP and enzymes were added; synthesis was continued at 42°C for 90 min, followed by 95°C for 5 min to cease the reaction. The unincorporated nucleotides were removed by precipitating the solution with ethanol for 1 h.

**Eureka™ IV cDNA nylon membrane microarray (U-Vision Biotech, Taipei, Taiwan) was used throughout the project. This cDNA microarray consists of a total of 1765 triplicate human genes in an area of 2.5 × 3.5 cm, and the DNA was stored as the inserts in bacterial clones. Plasmid of each clone was extracted, and the cDNA was amplified by PCR using vector primers. The PCR products were then concentrated by evaporating at 95°C to obtain a concentration of 2–3 µg/mL before being spotted onto a positively charged nylon membrane (Roche Applied Science) by using PixSys™ 5500 arrayer (Cartesian, Irvine, CA, USA).

**Membrane Hybridization**

Prehybridization was performed for 90 min with 5 mL hybridization buffer [containing 0.1% N-lauroylsarcosine, 0.1% SDS, 1% Blocking Reagent (Roche Applied Science), and 100 µg/mL salmon sperm DNA]. Hybridization mixture (80 µL) was composed of 4 µg biotinylated probe, 0.25 µg human Cot-1 DNA, and 0.25 µg poly(dA)10 oligomer in the hybridization buffer. After denaturation for 5 min at 95°C, the hybridization mixture was added onto the membrane and sealed in a hybridization bag. Hybridization was performed at 63°C for 16 h. Membranes were washed twice in 2× SSC/0.1% SDS and three times in 0.1× SSC/0.1% SDS at room temperature for 5 min and 63°C for 15 min, respectively.

**Colorimetric Detection**

After washing at 63°C, the membrane was blocked with 0.1 M maleic acid, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 1% Blocking Reagent, and 2% dextran sulfate at room temperature for 1 h. To detect the spots on the membrane, the membrane was incubated in a 5-mL mixture containing 0.1 M maleic acid, pH 7.5, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.1% Blocking Reagent, and 700-fold diluted streptavidin β-galactosidase (Invitrogen) for 1 h (Dr. Konan Peck, personal communication). After washing off the excess conjugate with 1× PBS, the membrane was treated with 1.2 mM X-gal (Invitrogen), 1 mM MgCl2, 3 mM K2Fe(CN)6, and 3 mM K4Fe(CN)6 for 45 min at 37°C. The color development reaction was then stopped by rinsing the membrane several times with deionized water.

**CARD**

After hybridization and washing, the membrane was rinsed with 2 mL blocking buffer, containing 1× PBS, 0.05% Tween® 20, and 7% casein. Hybridization was detected by first incubating the membrane with 2 mL conjugation reaction mixture containing 1× PBS, 0.05% Tween 20, and 1% BSA, 350-fold diluted HRP-conjugated streptavidin, 4% PEG-8000, 0.7% casein at room temperature for 1 h (Dr. Konan Peck, personal communication). Later, signal amplification was performed for 10 min by using 1× Biotin-Tyramide reagent (LEN Life Science Products). Biotinyl tyramide was detected using 1× PBS, 0.05% Tween 20, 1% BSA, 4% PEG-8000, 0.7% casein, and 700-fold diluted β-galactosidase-conjugated streptavidin at room temperature for 1 h. After washing off the excess conjugate with 1× PBS buffer, the membrane was treated with 1.2 mM X-gal, 1 mM MgCl2, 3 mM K2Fe(CN)6, and 3 mM K4Fe(CN)6 for 45 min at 37°C. The
color development reaction was then stopped by rinsing the membrane several times with deionized water.

**Internal Controls**

For controlling the labeling efficiency, a plant gene was labeled together with the human total RNA. For control of color development, a 5 × 6 mm nylon membrane containing a total of 192 biotinylated spots (CalibStrip™; U-Vision Biotech) was used. The first row was spotted with 15 repeats of biotinylated DNA at a known molecular number. The next row was comprised of 16 spots, which were 1.5-fold diluted from the first row. Thus, 12 rows and 16 columns were organized from the highest concentration to the lowest in the last row (ranging from \(4 \times 10^7\) to \(4.6 \times 10^5\) molecules). Color development on the two strips was then performed with colorimetric detection and CARD methods, respectively.

HybControl™ (U-Vision Biotech) was a mixture of three biotin-labeled PCR products of plant origin, present in high, medium, and low abundance. They were added into the hybridization mixture together with the labeled human probe before hybridization. These probes after hybridized to their respective DNA spots on the Eureka IV membrane, the signals representing transcripts of different abundance were then measured using quantification software (ImaGene 4.1; BioDiscovery, Los Angeles, CA, USA). These spots served as positive controls for mem-

---

**Figure 1.** A schematic representation of the mechanism of the signal amplification of CARD adapted onto a nylon membrane cDNA microarray surface. (1) The DNA probe that is hybridized with immobilized DNA on nylon cDNA microarray is detected with a biotin label. (2) HRP-labeled streptavidin added conjugates with the biotin probe, and (3) the HRP catalyzes the oxidation of Biotin-Tyramide. (4) The Biotin-Tyramide radicals are deposited in the vicinity of the target and are further detected by streptavidin and the X-gal system.
brane hybridization in both methods mentioned earlier.

**Image Analysis and Outlier Detection**

A grayscale color image for each microarray membrane was obtained from a PowerLook 3000 flatbed scanner (UMAX®, Fremont, CA, USA) with an optical resolution of 3048 dpi. Data obtained from ImaGene 4.1 were normalized for each membrane so that the cDNA microarray results could be compared with each other.

\[
\text{Normalized Intensity} = \frac{m_{ij}}{I}
\]

where

- \( m_{ij} \) = Mean Intensity - Background
- \( i \) = No. of row
- \( j \) = No. of column

\[
I = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{44} \sum_{j=1}^{84} m_{ij}^2}
\]

The normalized value of background-subtracted average signal intensity was reported as the hybridization intensity.

**RESULTS**

To determine the optimal RNA amount for CARD amplification, we performed two independent experiments, each with 100 µg total RNA from HEK cells for the colorimetric detection method (as control) and 1, 5, 7, 10, 50, and 100 µg for the CARD method, respectively, to hybridize on human cDNA nylon microarrays. The signal from each spot of all microarrays was measured, and the signals from each microarray were normalized. Normalized data from colorimetric detection method using 100 µg mRNA (COLOR100 in Figures 2 and 3) were then plotted against those of CARDs to confirm their correlations (scatter plots). Since the colorimetric detection method can produce a very linear relationship between the RNA input and the signal intensity, we used colorimetric detection as a standard for the amplification using the CARD method. The best concentration of RNA used in the CARD method was then determined by showing the most linear scatter plot (Figure 2).

Comparison between the normalized hybridization intensities from two repeated experiments (e.g., COLOR100-1 vs. COLOR100-2) showed that membrane microarrays gave consistent results with a correlation coefficient of 0.94 (Figure 2, A and B).

When the normalized data were plotted between COLOR100 and CARD from various amounts of RNA input, the linear correlation started to disappear when the starting RNA for CARD method was higher than 10 µg (Figure 2, C–F). As shown in Figure 2, E and F, the signal intensities using the CARD method started to plateau or become saturated even for those medium- or low-abundance transcripts (arrows in Figure 2, E and F). However, 1 µg total RNA generated signal intensities too low for data analysis (data not shown). More importantly, a more linear plot started to appear when the RNA input was less than 10 µg (Figure 2, C and D). Further experiments showed that the most linear pattern occurred when 7 µg RNA were used, which gave a correlation coefficient of 0.88 (Figure 3A).

When the fold change in signal intensity of spots between CARD and COLOR100 was plotted for every gene, the graph showed that there was a large fluctuation in the fold change of CARD100 (Figure 3B). The mean fold change of CARD100 was 1.35, with a standard deviation of 1.19, and CARD7 was 0.75 with a standard deviation of 0.48. The large variation in magnification of signal in CARD100 was due to the fact that 340 genes out of 1765 in CARD100 had been selectively magnified up to 10-fold. The signals of most of these genes were low in the colorimetric detection method.

CARD nylon microarrays with starting RNA of 5, 7, 10, and 50 µg exhibited a smaller fluctuation of fold change, with a standard deviation of less than 0.6 for all the genes calculated, compared to that from CARD100 with a standard deviation of 1.19 (Table 1). Therefore, data from CARD100 were not reliable compared with those of CARD5, 7, 10, and 50.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we showed that the CARD method was not applicable to all ranges of starting RNA material for nylon cDNA microarray study. We have determined the optimal amount of RNA to allow linear amplification of
signal for this application. The first set of experiments showed that RNA input between 5 and 10 µg produced a good correlation between colorimetric detection and CARD methods, and further experiments with finer scale concluded that 7 µg RNA gave the highest linearity between the CARD and colorimetric detection methods. Hence, only approximately 7% of the amount of sample required for colorimetric detection is required in the CARD method.

With the large RNA input in the CARD method, the fold of amplification among different genes fluctuated, the stronger signals from some genes can be amplified up to 10-fold compared to the colorimetric detection method (arrows in Figure 2, E and F). While genes expressed at low levels are amplified linearly, high-abundance genes are amplified nonlinearly. The distortion of signals occurs less severely when RNA input is less (compare Figure 2F with Figure 2D), even with high-abundance transcripts. When starting RNA in the CARD method reduces to 7 µg, the correlation of signals from the two methods is highest, and the variations of signal from all genes between the two methods, CARD7 and COLOR100, are smaller (Figure 3 and Table 1). The selective amplification of some genes occurs most significantly in CARD100; therefore, the data from CARD100 are less reliable than others (Table 1). In contrast to what we find here, the colorimetric detection method itself has a very linear signal intensity-probe concentration relationship (12) and, thus, is used as a standard for comparison.

In a labeling system such as colorimetric detection or CARD, the signals generated should be proportional to the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative Fold</th>
<th>sd of Fold Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CARD5</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARD7</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARD10</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARD50</td>
<td>1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARD100</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Relative Fold Changes and Their Standard Deviations of Signal by CARD versus Colorimetric Detection Method

Figure 3. Sample RNA (7 µg) generates more reliable data in the CARD method. (A) The left two pictures are the images of the microarray using the COLOR100 and CARD7 methods. The right-hand panel shows the scatter plot of the normalized signals from the two methods with correlation coefficient (cc) = 0.88. (B) The relative fold change of signal of individual gene between CARD method and colorimetric detection method was plotted. The red line represents fold change between CARD100 over COLOR100, and the green line represents that of CARD7 and COLOR100. The mean fold change and standard deviation of all genes in the experiment are shown to the right of the plot. The lines show where the values of the mean of both plots. Std Dev, standard deviation; COLOR, colorimetric detection.
amount of input RNA. To achieve this, all reagents present in the system are in excess, except the biotinylated probe hybridized on the membrane. The HRP present in the system is proportional to the amount of biotin-probe because of the stoichiometric tight conjugation between them. The amount of activated tyramide-biotin generated is proportional to the amount of HRP present. However, this system is disturbed when too much probe or HRP is present. a high level of biotin-tyramide radical is generated, which favors the formation of dimer instead of reacting with the tyrosine residues of the protein on the membrane (6,10). The spot intensities of dye are thus proportional to the amount of HRP present. For those DNA spots with lower abundance, the amount of activated reagents present in the system is proportional to the amount of input RNA. To achieve this, all reagents present in the system are in excess. 
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